

Notes – Board of Clackamas County Commissioners Staff Meeting – 1/9/07

Attending: MS, LS, BK(am), JAM, SW, TF

Also attending: Sylvia Smith of The League of Women Voters, Peter Zuckerman of *The Oregonian*

Staff Presentation:

Supported Activities for Villages @ Mt Hood – C. Roth, C. McGinnis, Kirstin Greene, D. Zinzer

Susan Corwin, Shirley Dueber, Rick Applegate, Lori De Reemer, Bob Reeves, Nancy Daugherty, Larry Skidmore, Jerry Herrmann, Bob Moore, Art Van Alstine, Virgil Van Arstine, Keith Schacher, George Williams

- 1) CR proposed for inclusion as supported activity: Community Input on County Lands for Recreation. Bylaws currently say only thing you can comment on is a supported activity. This allows comment on land as a supported activity; allows to make comment w/out getting Town Hall approval JAM said doesn't have requirement to consult w/community but they have right to comment. **BCC OK.**
- 2) Proposed 2nd supported activity: Community Park & Rec Facility. Asking for approval to go forward to next TH. Covered background. Lack of public facility available w/out fee. KS gave presentation. Restriction on public seating & parking. Amphitheatre – need 2K seats. Intend to form non-profit org. Oversee construction, operation, & maintenance through contract. Include Frisbee Disc Golf Course. Revised for pkg requirement so need 16 acres. Tennis courts, (BB & VB courts eliminated as Wildwood has these), so can increase Frisbee Disc Golf Course to allow for professional size. 2-4 years to develop; 3 phases. Fundraising – no taxes; self-sufficient (can apply for grants if supported activity). Location – Cedar Ridge property...unless CC knows of other suitable site. In sewer district, next to water; designated to be sold to Western River Conservancy. DZ said site has wetland issue; designation to preserve salmon river watershed. LP said has not been community-wide discussion of need. KS said got community vote in support. LP questioned if amphitheater an appropriate use; not in urban area; impact Hwy 26. MS said this seem early. Need for further discussion on disposition of Cedar Ridge property; need for dialogue. BK asked where V board is. RA said need to clarify. Bd recommend go to TH vote; not recognize as tacit approval that this the right site. Supported Activity status might bring resources to them to pursue some funding. Discussion

later. MS said have had conversation w/ski operators; integrate w/them. Accept staff report conceptually; keep conversation open. RA said separate proposal from site. LP said look at community needs; existing # of acres for parks; what should be there. BK said Cedar Ridge tax-foreclosed property we've owned for some time. Have fiduciary responsibility for maximizing site. Look at comprehensively: how manage; county-wide asset. Look at community needs. Ask staff to look at MP. LP said clarify rules on urban LU; may not be approved use in unincorporated. Not site specific; explore options. DZ said Parks updated 5-year CIP; mountain priorities. Community Ctr & Wayside supported activity. 20 acres in SD#1; rest in CC. Zoned Hoodland Residential w/Forest overlay.